

## ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ АСПЕКТЫ ПРОЦЕССА ЛИТЬЯ ПОД ДАВЛЕНИЕМ МНОГОКОМПОНЕНТНЫХ НАНОКОМПОЗИТОВ НА ОСНОВЕ ПОЛИОЛЕФИНОВ

Н.Т. Кахраманов, Х.В. Аллахвердиева, Ф.А. Мустафаева, Х.Н. Насибов

Наджаф Тофик оглы Кахраманов (ORCID 0000-0002-0889-7591)\*, Хаяла Вагиф гызы Аллахвердиева (ORCID 0000-0002-5337-516X), Фатима Алимйрза гызы Мустафаева (ORCID 0000-0002-6117-6216)

Лаборатория механо-химической модификации и переработки полимеров, Институт полимерных материалов НАН Азербайджана, ул. С.Вургуна, 124, Сумгайыт, Азербайджан, AZ5004

E-mail: najaf1946@rambler.ru\*, xayalaka4@gmail.com, mustafayevafatima@mail.ru

Хагани Насиб оглы Насибов

ООО “МЕТАК”, ул. Бейюкшорб 15А, туп. 2, Баку, Азербайджан, AZ1029

E-mail: nasibov.k@metak.az

*В работе приводятся результаты исследования влияния технологических параметров литья под давлением на основные физико-механические свойства нанокompозитов. Под технологическими параметрами имеется в виду давление и температурный режим материального цилиндра, температура прессформы, время выдержки под давлением, расположение литника в прессформе по отношению к формирующейся детали. В качестве объекта исследования использовали многокомпонентные нанокompозиты на основе полиэтилена высокой плотности, полиэтилена низкой плотности и сополимера этилена с гексенем с такими наполнителями, как технический нанокompозит углерод и алюминиевая пудра. Для улучшения технологической совместимости полимерной основы с наполнителями использовали компатибилизатор, представляющий собой привитой сополимер рандом полипропилена с 5,7% масс. малеинового ангидрида. В связи с необходимостью придания нанокompозитам антистатических свойств и высокой адгезионной прочности к поверхности металлов дается обоснование выбору этих наполнителей. Исследуются также физико-механические свойства нанокompозитов, как разрушающее напряжение, относительное удлинение, модуль упругости на изгиб, объемная усадка, адгезионная прочность, удельная электропроводность. Приводятся результаты исследования влияния температурного режима и давления литья на разрушающее напряжение и относительное удлинение нанокompозитов на основе полиолефинов, содержащих технический углерод, алюминиевую пудру и компатибилизатор. Показано, что с увеличением температурного режима и давления литья наблюдается закономерное повышение физико-механических показателей. Приводятся данные по влиянию температуры прессформы в пределах 25-70 °С на свойства композитных материалов. Дается теоретическое обоснование процессам, протекающим при переработке нанокompозитов. Рассмотрено влияние расположения литника в прессформе (вдоль или поперек) на свойства отлитых образцов. Доказано, что при расположении изделия вдоль врыскиваемого потока расплава, прочность и удлинение образцов становится выше, чем у изделий, расположенных поперек к литнику. Дается подробное описание ориентационным процессам, имеющим место в объеме отливаемого изделия.*

**Ключевые слова:** привитой сополимер, технологические параметры, нанокompозиты, литье под давлением, полиолефины, разрушающее напряжение, относительное удлинение, модуль упругости на изгиб, совместимость, адгезия

### Для цитирования:

Кахраманов Н.Т., Аллахвердиева Х.В., Мустафаева Ф.А., Насибов Х.Н. Теоретические аспекты процесса литья под давлением многокомпонентных нанокompозитов на основе полиолефинов. *Изв. вузов. Химия и хим. технология.* 2022. Т. 65. Вып. 1. С. 83–91

**For citation:**

Kakhramanov N.T., Allahverdiyeva Kh.V., Mustafayeva F.A., Nasibov Kh.N. Theoretical aspects of the injection molding process of multicomponent nanocomposites based on polyolefins. *ChemChemTech [Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol.]*. 2022. V. 65. N 1. P. 83–91

**THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF THE INJECTION MOLDING PROCESS  
OF MULTICOMPONENT NANOCOMPOSITES BASED ON POLYOLEFINS**

**N.T. Kakhramanov, Kh.V. Allahverdiyeva, F.A. Mustafayeva, Kh.N. Nasibov**

Najaf T. Kakhramanov (ORCID 0000-0002-0889-7591)\*, Khayala V. Allahverdiyeva (ORCID 0000-0002-5337-516X), Fatima A. Mustafayeva (ORCID 0000-0002-6117-6216)

Laboratory of Mechano-Chemical Modification and Processing of Polymers, Institute of Polymer Materials of Azerbaijan NAS, S. Vurgun st., 124, Sumgait, AZ5004, Azerbaijan

E-mail: najaf1946@rambler.ru\*, xayalaka4@gmail.com, mustafayevafatima@mail.ru

Khagani N. Nasibov

"METAK" LLC, Beyukshor st., 15A, Baku, AZ1029, Azerbaijan

E-mail: nasibov.k@metak.az

*The paper presents the results of a study of the influence of technological parameters of injection molding on the main physicomechanical properties of nanocomposites. Technological parameters mean the pressure and temperature of the material cylinder, the temperature of the mold, the holding time under pressure, the position of the sprue in the mold in relation to the part being formed. As an object of research, we used multicomponent nanocomposites based on high-density polyethylene, low-density polyethylene, and an ethylene-hexene copolymer with fillers such as technical nanocarbon and aluminum powder. To improve the technological compatibility of the polymer base with fillers, a compatibilizer was used, which is a graft copolymer of random polypropylene with 5.7 wt. % maleic anhydride. In connection with the need to impart antistatic properties and high adhesion strength to the metal surface to nanocomposites, the rationale for the choice of these fillers is given. Such physicomechanical properties of nanocomposites as ultimate tensile stress, elongation at break, flexural modulus, volumetric shrinkage, adhesive strength, electrical conductivity are investigated. The results of a study of the effect of temperature regime and injection molding pressure on the ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break of nanocomposites based on polyolefins containing technical carbon, aluminum powder, and compatibilizer are presented. It is shown that with an increase in the temperature and injection molding pressure, a natural increase in physicomechanical parameters is observed. The data on the influence of the temperature of the mold in the range of 25-70 °C on the properties of composite materials are given. The theoretical substantiation of the processes occurring during the processing of nanocomposites is given. The influence of the sprue location in the mold (along or across) on the properties of the cast specimens is considered. It has been proven that when the product is positioned along the injected melt flow, the strength and elongation of the samples becomes higher than that of the products located across the sprue. A detailed description of the orientation processes taking place in the volume of the cast product is given.*

**Key words:** graft copolymer, technological parameters, nanocomposites, injection molding, polyolefins, ultimate tensile stress, elongation at break, flexural modulus, compatibility, adhesion

A lot of polymer composite materials are produced in the world, which are characterized by high strength, elasticity, adhesive strength, hardness, thermal and heat resistance, resistance to aggressive media,

UV and radiation exposure, etc. [1-3]. And each time to achieve this goal, different types of fillers are used, the loading of which into the composition of polymers contributes to the formation of composite materials

with predetermined properties [4-6]. But, it should be noted that, along with this, there are very limited studies aimed at studying the processability of new types of composite materials by standard methods of polymer processing: injection molding and extrusion. Many interpret this circumstance by the fact that at enterprises the choice of the technological mode of polymer processing, as a rule, is carried out experimentally and is based on the experience of specialists working in this direction [7-10]. However, this approach, firstly, is accompanied by certain material and energy losses, and secondly, the absence of criteria for selecting and assessing the quality of a polymer composite creates certain difficulties in choosing the optimal technological mode of their processing [11-14].

In connection with the above, in this work, we focus on the use of such criteria for assessing the quality of a polymer material, which show significant sensitivity to the main technological parameters of their processing.

#### EXPERIMENTAL PART

In this work, we used composites based on polyolefins: high density polyethylene (HDPE), low density polyethylene (LDPE), and ethylene hexene copolymer (EHC).

HDPE – ultimate tensile stress – 31.3 MPa, flexural modulus 753 MPa, elongation at break 435%, density 946 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, melt flow index (MFI) 5.6 g/10 min, heat resistance 119 °C, melting point 131 °C, degree of crystallinity 80%.

LDPE – ultimate tensile stress – 11.2 MPa, flexural modulus – 196 MPa, density – 927 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, elongation at break – 720%, heat resistance -85 °C, melting point – 101 °C, MFI = 1.9 g/10min, degree of crystallinity 57%.

EHC – which is a heat-resistant linear low-density polyethylene brand SP980.

The compatibilizer (RPP-MA) of the Exxeler PO 1020 brand is a graft copolymer obtained in the process of reactive extrusion as a result of the mechanochemical synthesis of random polypropylene (RPP) with maleic anhydride (MA). The grafting degree of MA in the composition of the RPP was 5.7 wt. %.

Technical carbon (TC) of the Printex XE 2-B brand with a nanoparticle size of 18-40 nm.

As a metal filler used aluminum powder with a particle size of 0.5-2 μm.

The ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break of the nanocomposites were determined from the results of analytical data (out of 5 measurements) in accordance with GOST 11262-80. The relative experimental error is 3-5%.

The flexural modulus was determined in accordance with GOST 9550-81.

The MFI of polymer materials was determined on a capillary rheometer of the MELT FLOW TESTER brand, CEAST MF50 (INSTRON, Italy) at a temperature of 190 °C and a load of 5 kg. The relative experimental error is 5%.

The volumetric shrinkage was determined by measuring the change in the length of the bar from the original length of the forming part of the mold 55.5 mm. Shrinkage ( $\Delta$ ) was calculated according to the equation:  $\Delta = (55.5 - L) 100\% / 55.5$ , where: L is the current value of the sample length. The shrinkage value was determined as the average of 5 experiments carried out for each sample.

All studies on the development of the technological mode of processing nanocomposites by injection molding were carried out in the company METAK LLC in Baku city, which is one of the leading enterprises for the production of pipe and injection molded plastic products in Azerbaijan.

Polymer nanocomposites based on HDPE were prepared in the process of preliminary dry mixing in a ball mill. Then the resulting mixture was loaded into the hopper of the injection molding machine. To carry out studies to assess the physicomechanical properties of polymer compositions, samples were injected on a ДЕ3132.250Ц1 injection molding machine. The material cylinder of this equipment consists of 4 heated zones, has a worm-type auger (ratio L/D = 24) with preliminary plasticization, which rotates and can simultaneously move forward and backward. The design of the injection molding machine allows using its capabilities simultaneously for intensive mixing of the components of the mixture and cast molding the product in the mold. The mold makes it possible to carry out the process of forming a polymer product. Molded products are blades for determining the ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break in accordance with GOST 11262-80 and bars 4×6×55.5mm in size for evaluating the modulus of elasticity in bending in accordance with GOST 9550-81.

#### RESULTS AND ITS DISCUSSION

The task of the study was to show the optimal technological mode of their processing by injection molding using the example of polyolefins, aluminum powder and technical nanocarbon. The choice of these complex objects of study was due to the fact that the considered composites based on HDPE, LDPE, and EHC are characterized by rather good physicomechanical properties. The loading of TC into the composition of polyolefins makes it possible to significantly reduce static electricity, and the presence of an aluminum filler made it possible to significantly increase the adhesion to the metal surface. We are talking about obtaining products that have antistatic properties and are

used in contact with a rubbing metal surface in the environment of oil products. In the course of the studies carried out, it was found that polymer composites containing aluminum and TC in their composition are characterized by relatively good values of electrical conductivity and adhesion to an iron surface. According to the data in Table-1, the following composites meet these requirements to the greatest extent: HDPE + 5.0 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum + 2.0 wt. % RPP-MA; EHC + 7.0 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum

+ 2.0 wt. % RPP-MA; LDPE + 10 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum + 2.0 wt. % RPP-MA. As can be seen from this table, at a higher concentration of technical carbon and aluminum in the composition of the composites, the samples are characterized by relatively low values of strength indicators and elongation at break. At a lower concentration of the fillers used, the effect in improving the adhesion properties and electrical conductivity was insufficient.

*Table 1*

**Influence of TC, aluminum (Al) and compatibilizer (K) concentration on the properties of composites based on LDPE, HDPE, and EHC**

**Таблица 1. Влияние концентрации ТУ, алюминия (Al) и компатибилизатора (K) на свойства композитов на основе ПЭНП, ПЭВП и СЭГ**

| №№ | Composition of the composite, wt. % | Ultimate tensile stress, МПа | Elongation at break, % | Iron peel resistance A, N/m | Specific electrical conductivity $\sigma_e$ (Om·m) <sup>-1</sup> |
|----|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1  | 88LDPE+5TC+5Al+2K                   | 14.2                         | 235                    | 82.4                        | 4.6x10 <sup>-4</sup>   |
| 2  | 83LDPE+10TC+5Al+2K                  | 14.4                         | 145                    | 75.3                        | 7.1x10 <sup>-4</sup>   |
| 3  | 78LDPE+15TC+5Al+2K                  | 12.1                         | 75                     | 71.2                        | 9.3x10 <sup>-4</sup>   |
| 4  | 90HDPE+3TC+5Al+2K                   | 35.3                         | 215                    | 92.7                        | 2.6x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| 5  | 88HDPE+5TC+5Al+2K                   | 36.0                         | 210                    | 99.5                        | 5.2x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| 6  | 83HDPE+10TC+5Al+2K                  | 31.4                         | 115                    | 89.3                        | 7.9x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| 7  | 88 EHC +5TC+5Al+2K                  | 22.1                         | 350                    | 84.6                        | 2.4x10 <sup>-5</sup>   |
| 8  | 86 EHC +7TC+5Al+2K                  | 23.3                         | 350                    | 85.0                        | 5.7x10 <sup>-5</sup>   |
| 9  | 83 EHC +10TC+5Al+2K                 | 21.0                         | 270                    | 72.8                        | 7.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>   |

It seemed interesting to use the example of the considered composites to determine the influence of the technological parameters of injection molding on the basic physicochemical properties. Carrying out this type of experimental research makes it possible to get as close as possible to the selection of optimal conditions for processing multicomponent polymer composites. The optimal mode means good miscibility, technological compatibility of the components of the mixture, provided that the basic properties of the composite material are maintained at a sufficiently high level. In order to improve the compatibility and miscibility of fillers with a polymer matrix, we used a compatibilizer (RPP-MA), which at the same time has technological compatibility with HDPE, EHC, and LDPE. Technological compatibility implies satisfactory miscibility of the components of the mixture without stratification in the polymer matrix.

There is reason to believe that the loading of fillers into the composition of the considered polyolefins will be accompanied by the fact that some of the solid particles will contribute to the formation of heterogeneous nucleation centers. Another part of the fillers, in the process of crystallization of the polyolefin and the growth of crystal structures simultaneously from homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation centers, will be pushed into the interspherulite amorphous

region. With the growth of crystals from various crystallization centers, as a rule, it leads to the formation of small-spherulite crystalline formations [15, 16]. In all likelihood, the use of RPP-MA as a compatibilizer will contribute to the fact that MA-free segments of the macrochain will participate in the formation of spherulite formations, and the RPP macrochains containing MA units will be redistributed predominantly in the interspherulite region together with filler particles [15-17]. Concentration of MA units, TC nanoparticles and aluminum particles in the amorphous interfacial region will improve the compatibility of the mixture components with a subsequent increase in the basic physicochemical properties of the composites.

It seemed interesting to consider the influence of the main technological parameters of processing by injection molding on the properties of the composites under consideration. The main factors of the technological regime of injection molding are the temperature of the material cylinder, the rotation speed of the screw, the injection pressure, the temperature of the mold, the holding time under pressure and the position of the product in the mold in relation to the sprue (along or across). The most sensitive properties to a change in the technological mode of moulding condition, in our opinion, are ultimate tensile stress, elongation, flexural modulus and volumetric shrinkage.

Tables 2-4 show the results of a study of the effect of temperature and injection pressure on the above properties of composites based on LDPE, HDPE and EHC. Analyzing the data given in these tables, it can be established that with an increase in the temperature regime in the zones of the material cylinder and the injection pressure, there is a natural tendency to an increase in strength characteristics, elongation at break of composites and a decrease in their volumetric shrinkage. The most pronounced effect on the properties is the temperature regime of processing.

If we pay attention to the strength properties of composites based on EHC, we can see that they occupy intermediate values between the composites of HDPE

and LDPE. The influence of injection pressure on ultimate tensile stress and elongation, properties is mainly manifested in the transition from 50 to 100 MPa. A further increase in pressure from 100 to 150 MPa has a lesser effect on the increase in the value of these indicators. The flexural modulus increases continuously with increasing injection temperature and pressure. With a injection pressure of over 100 MPa, the growth of this indicator slows down sharply.

Therefore, from an energy point of view, the injection molding process of nanocomposites at a pressure of 150 MPa will be ineffective. In this case, it will be correct to assert about the most optimal injection pressure for the considered composites, equal to 100 MPa.

Table 2

**Influence of temperature and injection pressure on the properties of nanocomposites based on LDPE + 10% wt. TC + 5.0% wt. aluminum + 2.0 wt.% RPP-MA**

**Таблица 2. Влияние температурного режима и давления литья на свойства нанокомпозитов на основе ПЭНП+10% масс. ТУ+5,0% масс. алюминий +2,0% масс. РПП-МА**

| Temperature by zones, °C | Injection pressure, MPa | Ultimate tensile stress, MPa | Elongation at break, % | Shrinkage, % | Flexural modulus, MPa |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 120-130-140-150          | 50                      | 10.3                         | 105                    | 0.51         | 235                   |
| 120-130-150-160          |                         | 10.3                         | 110                    | 0.51         | 256                   |
| 120-130-150-170          |                         | 11.5                         | 120                    | 0.50         | 261                   |
| 120-130-160-180          |                         | 12.0                         | 135                    | 0.43         | 272                   |
| 120-130-140-150          | 100                     | 10.7                         | 120                    | 0.42         | 251                   |
| 120-130-150-160          |                         | 11.0                         | 125                    | 0.42         | 266                   |
| 120-130-140-170          |                         | 13.2                         | 130                    | 0.35         | 281                   |
| 120-130-160-180          |                         | 14.4                         | 145                    | 0.35         | 292                   |
| 120-130-140-150          | 150                     | 10.7                         | 120                    | 0.32         | 254                   |
| 120-130-150-160          |                         | 11.2                         | 125                    | 0.32         | 264                   |
| 120-130-140-170          |                         | 13.7                         | 135                    | 0.24         | 285                   |
| 120-130-160-180          |                         | 14.5                         | 140                    | 0.22         | 297                   |

Table 3

**Influence of temperature and injection pressure on the properties of nanocomposites based on HDPE + 5.0wt. % TC + 5.0wt.% aluminum + 2.0wt.% RPP-MA**

**Таблица 3. Влияние температурного режима и давления литья на свойства нанокомпозитов на основе ПЭВП+5.0% масс. ТУ+5,0% масс. алюминий +2,0% масс. РПП-МА**

| Temperature by zones, °C | Injection pressure, MPa | Ultimate tensile stress, MPa | Elongation at break, % | Shrinkage, % | Flexural modulus, MPa |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 140-160-170-180          | 50                      | 29.3                         | 115                    | 0.56         | 425                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 30.3                         | 130                    | 0.55         | 456                   |
| 140-165-190-200          |                         | 33.1                         | 150                    | 0.47         | 461                   |
| 140-170-190-210          |                         | 33.6                         | 175                    | 0.42         | 522                   |
| 140-160-170-180          | 100                     | 30.5                         | 140                    | 0.44         | 451                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 31.5                         | 175                    | 0.32         | 486                   |
| 140-165-190-200          |                         | 34.7                         | 200                    | 0.25         | 581                   |
| 140-170-190-210          |                         | 35.7                         | 200                    | 0.25         | 652                   |
| 140-160-170-180          | 150                     | 30.7                         | 200                    | 0.44         | 454                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 31.9                         | 195                    | 0.30         | 488                   |
| 140-165-190-200          |                         | 35.2                         | 220                    | 0.21         | 591                   |
| 140-170-190-210          |                         | 36.0                         | 210                    | 0.21         | 655                   |

An increase in the strength properties and elongation at break of composites at a relatively high temperature regime of injection molding is due to the fact

that under these conditions there is a uniform dispersion and mixing of filler particles throughout the volume of the polymer matrix. This process is especially

intense in the dosing zone of the injection unit, since it is in this zone that the screw thread depth is minimal [18].

One of the important technological factors affecting the properties of a molded product is the temperature of the mold and the holding time of the product under pressure. The results of an experimental study on the influence of these factors on the properties of composites based on LDPE, HDPE and EHC are shown in Table 5.

As can be seen from this table, the maximum mold temperature for composites based on LDPE is 50 °C, for composites based on HDPE and EHC – 70 °C. This is because the melting point of LDPE is lower than that of HDPE and EHC. According to the data presented, with an increase in temperature and time of holding under pressure, there is a definite tendency towards an increase in the ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break. In some cases, compositions that are cast in a relatively cold mold (25 °C) have a comparatively insignificant improvement in elongation. This is due to the fact that the greater the temperature drop, the faster the cooling of the surface areas of the polymer matrix in contact with the surface of the mold occurs. Since polymers are characterized by a relatively low thermal

conductivity, it would be natural to assume that a peculiar temperature gradient appears over the sample cross section. In other words, depending on the thickness of the sample, the process of cooling or crystallization of the composite proceeds at different rates. Therefore, the surface areas of the cast sample are characterized by a fine-spherulite structure, and in relatively deep areas the crystallization process proceeds relatively slowly with the formation of larger crystalline formations [18-20]. Therefore, the process of injection molding samples into relatively heated molds to some extent contributes to their more uniform cooling throughout the entire mass of the polymer matrix. The latter circumstance, in fact, explains a certain increase in the ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break of the composites. It is important to note that as the holding time under pressure increases, there is also a slight tendency to improve the properties of the composites. Apparently, this fact is due to the fact that with an increase in the cooling time of the sample in the mold, their volumetric shrinkage occurs, and holding under pressure and feeding a fresh portion of the polymer mass contributes to the preservation of the external dimensions of the product according to the forming part of the mold [17, 21].

**Table 4**

**Influence of temperature and injection pressure on the properties of nanocomposites based on EHC + 7.0wt.% TC + 5.0wt.% aluminum + 2.0wt.% RPP-MA**

**Таблица 4. Влияние температурного режима и давления литья на свойства нанокompозитов на основе СЭГ+7,0% масс. ТУ+5,0% масс. алюминий +2,0% масс. РПП-МА**

| Temperature by zones, °C | Injection pressure, MPa | Ultimate tensile stress, MPa | Elongation at break, % | Shrinkage, % | Flexural modulus, MPa |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 140-150-160-170          | 50                      | 18.5                         | 165                    | 0.58         | 321                   |
| 140-150-170-180          |                         | 19.2                         | 190                    | 0.56         | 356                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 20.1                         | 270                    | 0.50         | 364                   |
| 140-170-180-200          |                         | 20.8                         | 285                    | 0.47         | 429                   |
| 140-150-160-170          | 100                     | 19.7                         | 180                    | 0.49         | 341                   |
| 140-150-170-180          |                         | 20.5                         | 235                    | 0.42         | 384                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 22.8                         | 315                    | 0.35         | 421                   |
| 140-170-180-200          |                         | 23.3                         | 350                    | 0.29         | 512                   |
| 140-150-160-170          | 150                     | 20.0                         | 190                    | 0.45         | 354                   |
| 140-150-170-180          |                         | 20.9                         | 295                    | 0.36         | 385                   |
| 140-160-180-190          |                         | 23.0                         | 320                    | 0.29         | 428                   |
| 140-170-180-200          |                         | 23.3                         | 350                    | 0.29         | 523                   |

It should also be taken into account that the process of polymer cooling in the mold proceeds throughout the entire molding cycle, accompanied by the occurrence of residual stresses. As a rule, residual stresses are concentrated in those parts of the product where the orientation of polymer macromolecules took place. For example, in the first molding stage, the mold is quickly filled with the polymer melt. As a result, the

process of cooling and solidification of the polymer occurs primarily near the surface of the mold with the formation of a highly oriented thin film with the retention of residual stresses in them. At the second stage of the molding cycle, the product is compressed and completely cooled with a constant inflow of a fresh portion of the polymer into the forming part of the mold. In this case, an additional orientation arises, which also leads

to the accumulation of residual stresses. An increase in the mold temperature will undoubtedly affect a decrease in the temperature difference between the melt and the mold wall, thereby contributing to a decrease in the crystallization rate and the likelihood of residual stress formation [18, 20]. The danger of residual stresses is that during operation, under the influence of temperature and variable mechanical loads, the product can deform with a change in its structural dimensions. This circumstance is extremely undesirable, since it can lead to product breakdown and to emergency situations.

In the process of injection molding, the polymer matrix is subjected to thermomechanical action, as a result of which various side reactions of destruction and crosslinking can occur in the composite, affecting their quality characteristics. In this case, depending on the arrangement of the sprue in the plastic product, processes associated with the orientation of macromolecules along the melt flow in the forming part of the mold can take place. Depending on the type of polymer composite used, all these factors, to a greater or lesser extent, affect the basic physico-mechanical properties. At the same time, there are a lot of factors influencing the orientation processes and this is due not only to the location of the sprue, but also to the wall thickness and thickness variation of the product. It is quite obvious that the thinner the walls of the product, the more pronounced the orientational effect is.

When designing a mold, the most important consideration is the position of the plastic product relative to the sprue (along or across). In this regard, it seemed interesting to consider the influence of the sprue location in relation to samples (in the form of blades) intended for testing for ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break. The results of the study of composites based on the considered polyolefins are summarized in Table 6. Analyzing the data presented in this table, one can find a significant effect of the position of the sprue in the change in the properties of composites.

In this case, regardless of the type of the initial polymer matrix, the samples obtained along the direction of injection of the melt are characterized by higher values of the ultimate tensile stress and elongation at break. This circumstance is interpreted by the fact that, as a result of the orientation of macromolecules relative to each other, the crystallization process proceeds uniformly over the entire volume of the sample with the formation of crystal structures with a minimum defectiveness of the supramolecular structure [17, 18, 20].

Table 5

**Influence of mold temperature and holding time under pressure on ultimate tensile stress and elongation of nanocomposites based on LDPE, HDPE and EHC. Injection pressure 100 MPa**

*Таблица 5. Влияние температуры прессформы и времени выдержки под давлением на разрушающее напряжение и относительное удлинение нанокompозитов на основе ПЭНП, ПЭВП и СЭГ. Давление литья 100 МПа*

| Mold temperature, T, °C  | Holding time under pressure, s | Ultimate tensile stress, МПа | Elongation at break, % |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| LDPE + 10 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum + 2.0 wt. % compatibilizer Injection temperature by zones, 120-130-160-180 °C  |                                |                              |                        |
| 25   | 5                              | 13.6                         | 125                    |
| 40   |                                | 13.9                         | 140                    |
| 50   |                                | 13.9                         | 145                    |
| 25   | 10                             | 14.0                         | 150                    |
| 40   |                                | 14.4                         | 145                    |
| 50   |                                | 14.8                         | 145                    |
| 25   | 20                             | 14.0                         | 150                    |
| 40   |                                | 14.5                         | 145                    |
| 50   |                                | 14.2                         | 155                    |
| HDPE + 5.0 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum + 2.0 wt. % compatibilizer Injection temperature by zones, 140-170-190-210 °C |                                |                              |                        |
| 25   | 5                              | 34.6                         | 210                    |
| 40   |                                | 35.0                         | 200                    |
| 50   |                                | 35.5                         | 200                    |
| 70   |                                | 36.8                         | 220                    |
| 25   | 10                             | 35.0                         | 210                    |
| 40   |                                | 35.7                         | 200                    |
| 50   |                                | 36.0                         | 200                    |
| 70   |                                | 37.2                         | 220                    |
| 25   | 20                             | 35.0                         | 210                    |
| 40   |                                | 35.8                         | 200                    |
| 50   |                                | 36.2                         | 200                    |
| 70   |                                | 37.0                         | 210                    |
| EHC + 7.0 wt. % TC + 5.0 wt. % aluminum + 2.0 wt. % compatibilizer Injection temperature by zones, 140-170-180-200 °C  |                                |                              |                        |
| 25   | 5                              | 22.4                         | 350                    |
| 40   |                                | 22.8                         | 355                    |
| 50   |                                | 23.1                         | 355                    |
| 70   |                                | 23.1                         | 360                    |
| 25   | 10                             | 23.0                         | 360                    |
| 40   |                                | 23.3                         | 360                    |
| 50   |                                | 23.6                         | 360                    |
| 70   |                                | 24.3                         | 370                    |
| 25   | 20                             | 23.3                         | 370                    |
| 40   |                                | 23.5                         | 360                    |
| 50   |                                | 23.9                         | 365                    |
| 70   |                                | 24.5                         | 370                    |

Table 6

The influence of the position of the blade in the mold on the physico-mechanical properties of HDPE and its nanocomposites obtained under optimal technological conditions of injection molding

Таблица 6. Влияние расположения лопатки в пресс-форме на физико-механические свойства ПЭВП и его нанокompозитов, полученных при оптимальных технологических условиях литья под давлением

| Composition of the nanocomposite, wt. % | The location of the blades in the mold | Ultimate tensile stress, МПа | Elongation at break, % |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------|
| LDPE+10TC+5A1+2K                        | along                                  | 14.8                         | 145                    |
|   | across                                 | 12.2                         | 110                    |
| HDPE+5TC+5A1+2K                         | along                                  | 36.2                         | 200                    |
|   | across                                 | 33.7                         | 155                    |
| EHC +7TC+5A1+2K                         | along                                  | 23.9                         | 355                    |
|   | across                                 | 21.4                         | 305                    |

Thus, on the basis of the foregoing, it can be stated that for a number of nanocomposites based on

## ЛИТЕРАТУРА

1. Нгуен Минь Туан, Чалая Н.М., Осипчик В.С. Структура и физико-механические свойства смесей полипропилена и металлоценового этиленпропиленового эластомера. *Пласт. массы*. 2017. № 9-10. С. 12-16.
2. Симонов-Емельянов И.Д. Структура и расчет составов дисперсно-наполненных полимерных композиционных материалов в массовых и объемных единицах. *Пласт. Массы*. 2019. № 5-6. С. 9-10. DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2019-5-6-9-10.
3. Калинин Э.Л., Саковцева М.Б., Павлова И.В., Кавокин Е.И., Сакович Д.А. Эффективный подход к созданию современных полимерных композиционных материалов. *Полимер. материалы*. 2008. № 3. С. 4-14.
4. Кравченко Т.П., Ермаков С.Н., Кербер М.Л. Научно-технические проблемы получения композиционных материалов на основе конструкционных термопластов. *Пласт. массы*. 2010. № 10. С. 32-37.
5. Лукасик В.А., Жирнов А.Г. Композиционные материалы на основе полимерных и других органических отходов. *Пласт. массы*. 2000. № 7. С. 39-40.
6. Атысова Е.В., Блазнов А.Н. Гибридные полимерные композиционные материалы. Часть 1. Состав и свойства. *Все материалы. Энциклопед. справ.* 2019. № 11. С. 23-31. DOI: 10.31044/1994-6260-2019-0-11-23-31.
7. Калистратова Л.Ф., Егорова В.А. Упорядочение аморфной фазы как одна из характеристик надмолекулярной структуры аморфно-кристаллического полимера. *Материаловедение*. 2019. № 1. С. 3-8.
8. Сатридин А.Р., Глазырин А.Б., Псянчин А.А., Захарова Е.М., Хуснуллин А.Г., Захаров В.П. Влияние условий переработки полипропилена на его термические и физико-механические свойства. *Пласт. массы*. 2021. № 3-4. С. 46-49. DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2021-3-4-46-49.
9. Кладовщикова О.И., Тихонов Н.Н., Жданов И.А., Кольбанов К.Ю. Композиционные материалы на основе сверхвысокомолекулярного полиэтилена. *Пласт. массы*. 2020. № 11-12. С. 11-14. DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2020-11-12-11-14.

LDPE, HDPE, and EHC, the technological modes of injection molding have been developed. It was shown that with an increase in the injection pressure, the temperature regime of the material cylinder, the temperature of the mold, and the holding time under pressure, an increase in the strength parameters and elongation at break of nanocomposites is observed. The filler used TC, aluminum powder and a compatibilizer based on RPP-MA.

The influence of the sprue location in relation to the forming part of the mold is considered. It was found that the samples located in the forming part of the mold along the melt injection are characterized by comparatively better physico-mechanical properties.

The authors declare the absence a conflict of interest warranting disclosure in this article.

Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов, требующего раскрытия в данной статье.

## REFERENCES

1. Nguyen Minh Thuan, Chalaya N.M., Osipchik V.S. Structure and physico-mechanical properties of mixtures of polypropylene and metallocene ethylene-propylene elastomer. *Plast. Massy*. 2017. N 9-10. P. 12-16 (in Russian).
2. Simonov-Emelyanov I.D. Structure and calculation of filler content in particle-filled polymer composites in mass and volume units. *Plast. Massy*. 2019. N 5-6. P. 9-10 (in Russian). DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2019-5-6-9-10.
3. Kalinchev E.L., Sakovtseva M.B., Pavlova I.V., Kavokin E.I., Sakovich D.A. An effective approach to the obtain of modern polymer composite materials. *Polimer. Mater.* 2008. N 3. P. 4-14 (in Russian).
4. Kravchenko T.P., Ermakov S.N., Kerber M.L. Scientific and technical problems of obtaining composite materials based on structural thermoplastics. *Plast. Massy*. 2010. N 10. P. 32-37 (in Russian).
5. Lukasik V.A., Zhirnov A.G. Composite materials based on polymer and other organic waste. *Plast. Massy*. 2000. N 7. P. 39-40 (in Russian).
6. Atysova E.V., Blaznov A.N. Hybrid polymer composite materials. Part 1. Composition and properties. *Vse Materialy. Entsikloped. Sprav.* 2019. N 11. P. 23-31 (in Russian). DOI: 10.31044/1994-6260-2019-0-11-23-31.
7. Kalistratova L.F., Egorova V.A. Ordering of amorphous phase as one of characteristic of supramolecular structure of amorphous-crystalline polymer. *Materialovedenie*. 2019. N 1. P. 3-8 (in Russian).
8. Satridinov A.R., Glazyrin A.B., Psyanchin A.A., Zakharova E.M., Khusnullin A.G., Zakharov V.P. Influence of polypropylene processing conditions on its thermal and physical-mechanical properties. *Plast. Massy*. 2021. N 3-4. P. 46-49 (in Russian). DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2021-3-4-46-49.
9. Kladoyshchikova O.I., Tikhonov N.N., Zhdanov I.A., Kolybanov K.Yu. Composite materials based on ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene. *Plast. Massy*. 2020. N 11-12. P. 11-14 (in Russian). DOI: 10.35164/0554-2901-2020-11-12-11-14.



10. **Кахраманов Н.Т., Гусейнова З.Н., Мамедли У.М., Арзуманова Н.Б., Дадашева Э.В., Мамедов Б.А.** Свойства динамических термоэластопластов на основе полипропилена и бутадиен-нитрильного каучука. *Изв. вузов. Химия и хим. технология.* 2019. Т. 62. Вып. 7. С. 107-117. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20196207.5781.
11. **Гришин А.Н., Казанская Л.И., Абдуллин И.А.** Влияние некоторых факторов на прочность при сжатии высоконаполненных полимер композитных материалов. *Вестн. Казан. Технол. Ун-та.* 2010. №7. С. 400-406.
12. **Курбанова Н.И., Рагимова С.К., Алимирзоева Н.А., Ищенко Н.Я.** Медьсодержащие нанокompозиты на основе изотактического полипропилена и бутадиен-нитрильного каучука. *Перспектив. материалы.* 2021. № 5. С. 76-81. DOI: 10.30791/1028-978X-2021-5-76-81.
13. **Казakov Ю.М., Волков А.М., Рыжикова И.Г., Бауман Н.А., Вольфсон С.И.** Бинарные смеси этилен- $\alpha$ -олефиновых эластомеров для улучшения баланса ударо- и деформационно-прочностных характеристик композиций полипропилена, получаемых в процессе реакционной экструзии. *Пласт. массы.* 2016. № 9-10. С. 3-6. DOI: 10.1177/0307174X1704401001.
14. **Kakhramanov N.T., Guseinova Z.N., Osipchik V.S.** The Influence of the Technological Parameters of Injection Molding on the Physico-mechanical Properties of Dynamic Elastoplastic Based on Polyolefins. *Polymer Sci. Ser. D.* 2019. V. 12. N 3. P. 317–321. DOI: 10.1134/S1995421219030079.
15. **Аллахвердиева Х.В.** Физико-механические свойства композитных материалов на основе меди и полиолефинов. *Изв. вузов. Химия и хим. технология.* 2020. Т. 63. Вып. 10. С. 71-77. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20206310.6251.
16. **Kakhramanov N.T., Mustafaeva F.A., Arzumanova N.B., Guliev A.D.** Crystallization Kinetics of Composite Materials Based on Polyethylene Mixture with High and Low Density. *Inorg. Materials: Appl. Res.* 2020. V. 11. N 1. P. 127–131. DOI: 10.1134/S2075113320010177.
17. **Аллахвердиева Х.В., Кахраманов Н.Т., Абдуллин И.И., Мустафаева Ф.А.** Влияние концентрации алюминиевой пудры на механизм и кинетические закономерности кристаллизации композитов на основе полиэтилена низкой плотности. *Изв. вузов. Химия и хим. технология.* 2020. Т. 63. Вып. 2. С. 77-83. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20206302.6045.
18. **Guliyev A.J.** Technological features injection moulding of dynamically vulcanized nanocomposites on based of random polypropylene, nitril butadiene rubber and bentonite. *Chem. Probl.* 2020. N 3. P. 388-395. DOI: 10.32737/2221-8688-2020-3-388-395.
19. **Атлукханова Л.Б., Козлов Г.В., Долбин И.В.** Взаимосвязь структуры нанонаполнителя и свойств полимерных нанокompозитов: фрактальная модель. *Материаловедение.* 2019. № 7. С. 19-22. DOI: 10.31044/1684-579X-2019-0-7-19-22.
20. **Тaгep A.A., Юшкова С.М.** Взаимодействие наполнителей с полимерами. *Пласт. массы.* 1987. № 5. С. 26-27.
21. **Гарехбаш Н.** Физико-механические свойства нанокompозитов на основе полипропилена, модифицированного монтмориллонитом. *Докл. Акад. наук Респ. Таджикистан.* 2013. V. 56. N 3. P. 215-220.
10. **Kakhramanov N.T., Huseynova Z.N., Arzumanova N.B., Mammadli U.M., Dadasheva E.V., Mammadov B.A.** Properties of dynamic thermoelastoplastic elastomers based on polypropylene and butadiene-nitril rubber. *ChemChemTech [Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol.].* 2019. V. 62. N 7. P. 107-117. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20196207.5781.
11. **Grishin A.N., Kazanskaya L.I., Abdullin I.A.** Influence of some factors on the compressive strength of highly filled polymer composite materials. *Vestn. Kazan. Tekhnol. Univ.* 2010. N 7. P. 400-406 (in Russian).
12. **Kurbanova N.I., Ragimova S.K., Alimirzoeva N.A., Ishchenko N.Ya.** Copper-containing nanocomposites based on isotactic polypropylene and nitrile butadiene rubber. *Perspektiv. Mater.* 2021. N 5. P. 76-81 (in Russian). DOI: 10.30791/1028-978X-2021-5-76-81.
13. **Kazakov Yu.M., Volkov A.M., Ryzhikova I.G., Bauman N.A., Volfson S.I.** Binary mixtures of ethylene- $\alpha$ -olefin elastomers for improving the balance of impact and deformation-strength characteristics of polypropylene compositions obtained in the process of reactive extrusion. *Plast. Massy.* 2016. N 9-10. P. 3-6 (in Russian). DOI: 10.1177/0307174X1704401001.
14. **Kakhramanov N.T., Guseinova Z.N., Osipchik V.S.** The Influence of the Technological Parameters of Injection Molding on the Physico-mechanical Properties of Dynamic Elastoplastic Based on Polyolefins. *Polymer Sci. Ser. D.* 2019. V. 12. N 3. P. 317–321. DOI: 10.1134/S1995421219030079.
15. **Allahverdiyeva X.V.** Physicomechanical properties of composite materials on the basis of copper and polyolefins. *ChemChemTech [Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol.].* 2020. V. 63. N 10. P. 71-77. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20206310.6251.
16. **Kakhramanov N.T., Mustafaeva F.A., Arzumanova N.B., Guliev A.D.** Crystallization Kinetics of Composite Materials Based on Polyethylene Mixture with High and Low Density. *Inorg. Materials: Appl. Res.* 2020. V. 11. N 1. P. 127–131. DOI: 10.1134/S2075113320010177.
17. **Allahverdiyeva X.V., Kakhramanov N.T., Abdullin I.I., Mustafayeva F.A.** Influence of the aluminum powder concentration on the mechanism and kinetic regularities of the crystallization of composites based on low density polyethylene. *ChemChemTech [Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. Tekhnol.].* 2020. V. 63. N 2. P. 77-83. DOI: 10.6060/ivkkt.20206302.6045.
18. **Guliyev A.J.** Technological features injection moulding of dynamically vulcanized nanocomposites on based of random polypropylene, nitril butadiene rubber and bentonite. *Chem. Probl.* 2020. N 3. P. 388-395. DOI: 10.32737/2221-8688-2020-3-388-395.
19. **Atlukhanova L.B., Kozlov G.V., Dolbin I.V.** Interconnection nanofiller structure and properties of polymer nanocomposites: the fractal model. *Materialovedenie.* 2019. N 7. P. 19-22 (in Russian). DOI: 10.31044/1684-579X-2019-0-7-19-22.
20. **Tager A.A., Yushkova S.M.** Interaction of fillers with polymers. *Plast. Massy.* 1987. N 5. P. 26-27 (in Russian).
21. **Garekhash N.** Physicomechanical properties of nanocomposites based on polypropylene modified with montmorillonite. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Resp. Tadzhikistan.* 2013. V. 56. N 3. P. 215-220 (in Russian).

Поступила в редакцию 25.05.2021

Принята к опубликованию 17.11.2021

Received 25.05.2021

Accepted 17.11.2021